IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.452 OF 2006
IN
SUIT NO.277 OF 1976

Jaico Press Pvt.Ltd. ...Plaintiff
vs.
Orkay Internatinoal ...Defendant
And
M/s.Orkay International ...Respondent

Mr.U.J.Makhija i/b M/s.Thakordas & Madgavkar for Plaintiff
Mr.Kalpesh Jaoshi i/b M/s.Malvi Ranchoddas for the Defendant

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : AUGUST 9,2007

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the plaintiff in support of the chamber summons. I have heard the learned counsel for the defendants and respondents in the chamber summons. The chamber summons is for amendment of the plaint. Inviting my attention to the schedule annexed to the chamber summons, the learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted that instead of deleting the name of existing defendants, the plaintiffs be permitted to add the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 as the defendant numbers 2 to 5. He states that the proposed defendant 1e has expired. The learned counsel for the defendant and respondents in chamber summons has no serious objection for adopting the said course.

2. Hence, chamber summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) with a modification that instead of deleting the original defendant, the plaintiffs are permitted to add respondent Nos.1 to 4 as defendant Nos.2 to 5 after describing the original defendant as the defendant No.1. Amendment to be carried out within a period of three weeks from today.